

18 September 2024

Conclusions and Outlook

Mitsuharu OGUMA

(IEA-AMF Delegate of Japan/AIST)

**National Institute of Advanced Industrial
Science and Technology (AIST)**



Conclusions and Outlook

To reach a net zero target in the energy and mobility system, **e-fuels will play an important role together with biofuels**. Both types of renewable fuels have their advantages and challenges, and **both are needed for a successful energy transition**. E-fuels are an important complement to biofuels, and **countries can combine the synergies and benefits of e-fuels and biofuels**. The opportunities that arise with the energy transition will lead to an increase in the global technology diversity. Several new technologies are being developed and there is a global technological race, with several routes and alternatives capable of assuming a relevant role in the energy transition. **In the new future, there will be several emerging industries coexisting and eventually replacing the traditional technologies**. Energy systems will follow a process of carbon intensity reduction, as the climate agenda will increasingly influence international trade and international relations.

In general, biofuels production technologies have a higher technology readiness level (TRL) than e-fuels. Some electro-fuels, such as FT fuels, or methanol, can be produced as well from high TRL or mature technologies. However, it needs to be pointed out, that **although some single technologies might have a high TRL, the overall TRL in the combination of several technologies in an overall plant can be lower**.

Conclusions and Outlook

In the strategies of most countries, e-fuels are regarded as important for different applications in the future. **To support technology development and to increase e-fuel production**, strategic programmes in several countries were implemented. **Depending on the country, they consist of incentives for e-fuel production, of support for research projects or of regulations that make a certain percentage of the use of e-fuel mandatory, or a combination of these three.** Next to political programmes, also companies are enforcing the use of sustainable fuels, in order to reduce their carbon footprint.

The production of e-fuels is very energy-intensive if based on water electrolysis, which is why it is being discussed whether these processes should be used primarily for applications that are difficult to electrify. **These so-called hard-to-abate sectors are the aviation industry, maritime applications and industrial processes.** For international aviation, fuels need to be ASTM certified. According to ASTM D7566, the following routes are suitable for SAF production: HEFA, HC-HEFA, CHJ, SIF, ATJ, SPK-A and SPK-FT (synthesized paraffinic kerosene; Fischer-Tropsch). In the shipping industry, e-methanol, e-ammonia, e-methane and hydrogen are considered interesting for the use. **Regulations for onboard use of methanol and liquefied methane exist today and thus gives their implementation an advantage over the implementation of ammonia or hydrogen.**

Conclusions and Outlook

When producing e-fuels, the water electrolysis is a key technology. It is necessary for all e-fuel production pathways and has the largest impact on e-fuel production cost as well as the carbon intensity of the product. LCA results show that using renewable electricity and hydrogen is key to having low-carbon e-fuels. Usually, using electricity grid mix for producing e-fuels does not provide greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction benefits compared to the fossil baseline fuels. It is therefore crucial to use low-emission electricity sources in order to obtain an ecological benefit. The analysis shows that e-FT fuels and e-methanol present significant GHG reduction benefit coupled with renewable electricity and/or H₂ compared to their fossil counterparts. **Regional distribution of CO₂ sources and the available freshwater need to be considered further.** As the amount of freshwater needed as a renewable source of hydrogen for e-fuel production is significant, **regional and seasonal variations in water availability and scarcity should be considered when siting CCU facilities to avoid water-scarce areas.**

Conclusions and Outlook

The key cost driver of e-fuel production is hydrogen, of which the production cost mainly depends on electricity prices and capital cost. Since electricity costs depend on the geographical area, the location of a production facility has a major influence. To achieve low-cost hydrogen for economical e-fuels production, both electricity cost and electrolyser capital cost needs to decrease greatly. There are ambitious learning curves assumed and high operating capacities are needed (and a challenge). The costs of e-fuel production can **additionally be lowered by increasing the efficiencies of e-fuel production technologies, by increasing the sizes of the production plants and by lowering costs for other resources like CO₂ and nitrogen**. The price for the CO₂ is mainly defined by **post-combustion technologies like capture, purification, compression and cooling**. Point sources are more economical, as capture costs increase with decreasing concentrations. However, e-fuels are generally more expensive than biofuels. **Appropriate carbon pricing and low renewable power cost are critical to enhance the economic competitiveness of e-fuels**.

Despite economic challenges, projects for the production of e-fuels are announced frequently and worldwide. **Political programmes and customer demand are the main drivers of this development and a major expansion of production facilities is expected**. It remains to be seen which technologies will prevail and which countries will be the main producers and exporters.